ASSESSMENT CRITERIA	SCORING				Impact score October 2021								
	0	5	10	20			Campuses	Digital			Pentre Awel	Swansea Waterfront	
Scope and key objectives	No change to project	to project	Widespread and major changes to project	Significant change to project	5	10	0	0	5	5	0	0	10
Fargets	No risk to achievement		Widespread, but relatively short term impact on	Significant, long-lasting impact on achievement	10	10	5	5	5	5	5		5
Timescales	No foreseeable delays	Potentially minor delays (0-6 months)	Potentially major delays (6-12 months)	Potentially significant delays (1 year+)	5	5	5	0	5	5	5	5	5
Reputation if project falls to deliver	No negative impact	Local and limited negative impact	Regional and limited negative impact	Significant impact	5	5	10	10	10	10	10	10	5
Stakeholders/partn erships commitment	No issues	Limited and minor issues	Widespread and major issues	Significant issues	10	0	5	5	0	0	5	10	0
Project costs	No variance	0-10% variance	10-20% variance	20%+ variance	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	0
Procurement	No impact	Minor impact	Major impact	Significant	5	0	5	5	5	5	5	5	5
Staff resourcing	No impact	Limited impact	Widespread and major impact	Significant impact	0	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	5
				TOTAL	45	40	40	40	40	40	40	50	35
					С	С	С	С	С	С	С	В	С
ASSESSMENT	Scoring guide				Impact score January 2022								
CRITERIA	0	5	10	20	PDM	Yr Egin	Campuses	Digital	HaPS	SILCG	Pentre Awel	Swansea Waterfront	Skills
Scope and key objectives	No change to project		Widespread and major changes to	Significant change to project	5	10	0	0	5	5	0	0	10

					L	L	L	C	Ĺ	L	L	В	L
ASSESSMENT CRITERIA	Scoring guide				Impact score January 2022								
	0	5	10	20	PDM	Yr Egin	Campuses	Digital	HaPS	SILCG	Pentre Awel	Swansea Waterfront	Skills
Scope and key objectives			Widespread and major changes to project	Significant change to project	5	10	0	0	5	5	0	0	10
Targets	achievement	limited impact	Widespread, but relatively short term impact on	Significant, long-lasting impact on achievement	10	10	5	5	5	5	5	10	5
Timescales	foreseeable delays	minor delays (0-6 months)	Potentially major delays (6-12 months)	(1 year+)	5	5	0	0	5	5	5	5	5
Reputation if project fails to deliver	impact	limited negative impact	limited negative impact	Significant impact	5	5	10	10	10	10	10	10	5
Stakeholders/partn erships commitment	No issues	Limited and minor issues	Widespread and major issues	Significant issues	10	0	5	5	0	0	5	5	0
Project costs	No variance	0-10% variance	10-20% variance	20%+ variance	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	0
Procurement	No impact	Minor impact	Major impact	Significant impact	5	0	5	5	5	5	5	0	5
Staff resourcing	No impact	Limited impact	Widespread and major impact	Significant impact	0	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	5
				TOTAL	45	40	35	35	40	40	40	40	35
	Intevention			С	С	С	С	С	С	С	С	С	
			N	Novement	-	-	A	-	-	-	-	A	-

Suggested project categories relating to the risk and impact assessment score are as follows:

Project Risk and Impact Score	Suggested Project Category	Intervention
100+	Α	Joint Committee
50-99	В	Intervention required.
25-49	С	Continue to monitor locally
0-24	D	No intervention required.

	Number of Red Risks Identified by Impact Criteria									
	Scope and key objectives	Targets	Timescales	Reputation if project fails to deliver	Stakeholders/ partnerships commitment	Project costs	Procurement	Staff resourcing	total Red Risks	
Oct-21	4	4	4	4	2	6	2	1	27	
Jan-22	3	4	4	4	2	6	2	1	26	
Change	A	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	A	